

फाइल संख्या :File No: V2/75/GNR/2018-19

अपील आदेश संख्या :Order-In-Appeal No.: AHM-EXCUS-003-APP-107-18-19 दिनाँक Date :01-10-2018 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue: 25/10/2013 श्री उमाशंकर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

Passed by Shri Uma Shanker Commissioner (Appeals) Ahmedabad

अपर आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, अहमदाबाद-॥ आयुक्तालय द्वारा जारी मूल आदेश: 29/DC/MEH/DIV/09-10 दिनाँक : 09-12-2009 से सृजित

Arising out of Order-in-Original: 29/DC/MEH/DIV/09-10, Date: 09-12-2009 Issued by: Deputy Commssioner, CGST, Div: Mehsana, Gandhinagar Commissionerate, Ahmedabad.

अपीलकर्ता एवं प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता ध

Name & Address of the Appellant & Respondent

M/s. Kaira Can Co. Ltd

कोई व्यवित्त इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन : Revision application to Government of India:

- केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अंतर्गत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा क़ो उप–धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार, विंत्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।
- (i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :
- यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।
- In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.
- भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित
- In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside In case of repare of duty of excise on goods exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the goods of the goods which are exported to any relationship in the goods of t country or territory outside India.

Cr. Jile

- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (C) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.
- ध अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केंडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।
- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए—8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल—आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो—दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35—इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर—6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/— फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/— की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35— णबी / 35—इ के अंतर्गत:— Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलों के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ—20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016.

To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

(2) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 की धारा 6 के अंतर्गत प्रपत्र इ.ए–3 में निर्धारित किए अनुसार अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरणें की गई अपील के विरुद्ध अपील किए गए आदेश की चार प्रतियाँ सहित जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या उससे कम है वहां रूपए 1000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या 50 लाख तक हो तो रूपए 5000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 50 लाख या उससे ज्यादा है वहां रूपए 10000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। की फीस सहायक रिजस्टार के नाम से रेखािकत बैंक ड्राफ्ट के रूप में संबंध की जाये। यह ड्राफ्ट उस स्थान के किसी नामित सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक की शाखा का हो

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any



nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त कंग से किया ज़ाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall beer a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paisa as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सीस्तेत) के प्रति अपीलों के मामलों में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, १९४४ की धारा ३५फ के अंतर्गत वितीय(संख्या-२) अधिनियम २०१४(२०१४ की संख्या २५) दिनांक: ०६.०८.२०१४ जो की वितीय अधिनियम, १९९४ की धारा ८३ के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, द्वारा निश्चित की गई पूर्व-राशि जमा करना अनिवार्य है, बशर्ते कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा की जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रूपए से अधिक न हो

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "माँग किए गए शुल्क" में निम्न शामिल है

- (i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत निर्धारित रकम
- (ii) सेनवैट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
- (iii) सेनवैट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम

→ आगे बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं. 2) अधिनियम, 2014 के आरम्भ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्ज़ी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगे।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, it is mandatory to pre-deposit an amount specified under the Finance (No. 2) Act, 2014 (No. 25 of 2014) dated 06.08.2014, under section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994 provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to ceiling of Rs. Ten Crores,

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

→Provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

(6)(i) इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

(6)(i) In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute."

ORDER-IN-APPEAL

This order arises out of an appeal filed by M/s. Kaira Can Company Ltd., Dudh Sagar Dairy Compound, Mehsana (in short 'appellant') against Order-in-Original No.29/DC/MEH/DIV/09-10 dtd. 09.12.2009 (in short 'impugned order') passed by the then Deputy Commissioner, Central Excise, Mehsana, Ahmedabad-III (in short 'adjudicating authority').

- 2. Briefly stated that adjudicating authority vide impugned order confirmed demand of Rs.1,56,898/- alongwith interest under Section 11A(1) and 11AB of the Central Excise Act, 1944 respectively read with Rule 14 of the Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004, being wrongly availed Cenvat credit of service tax paid on Outward GTA services for goods dispatched to their Delhi depot/goods sold to the customers/buyers door as per purchase order i.e. beyond the 'place of removal' during the period January-2005 to September-2008 in terms of Rule 2(I) ibid and also imposed penalty of Rs.1,56,898/- under Section 11AC ibid.
- 3. Aggrieved with the impugned order, the appellant filed the present appeal wherein, inter alia, stated that:
 - ➤ The Cenvat credit availed was reflected in the C.Ex. records and also monthly return in ER-1 hence the deptt. was in full knowledge of the availment of Cenvat credit of service tax as such there was no suppression of facts as alleged in the SCN.
 - ➤ The recovery of Rs.39,757/- is not justified as the adjudicating authority has mentioned this fact in Para 5 of the impugned order. This amount pertains to goods removed from factory premises to Delhi depot. And admissible as pe Section 4 of the C.Ex. Act, 1944 defining 'place of removal'.
 - > Rs.15,152/- appearing at sr.no.23 to 27 of Annexure to the SCN was debited in the Cenvat Credit Account vide Entry No.967 dtd.13.01.2009 i.e. before issue of the SCN.
 - > Rs.1,514/- appearing at Sr.No.1 of Annexure to the SCN is the credit taken on 'inputs'.
 - ➤ For remaining amount of recovery of Rs.1,00,475/-, the goods were cleared from the factory premises for delivery at buyer's premises as per the purchase order of buyers. Therefore, condition as laid down in Para 8.2 of the Board's Circular No.97/8/2007-ST dated 23.08.2007 is binding without contrary interpretation.
 - > They also rely on case of ABB Ltd. vs. CCE&ST, Banglore reported at 2009(15) STR-23(Tri.-LB).
- 4. Personal hearing in the matter was held on 05.10.2018. Shri Keyur Vora, Sr. Manager(Commercial), appeared on behalf of the appellant and reiterated the grounds of appeal and also submitted copy of additional submission made on 27.12.2011.



- 5. I have carefully gone through the appeal memorandum, submissions made at the time of personal hearing and evidences available on records. I find that the main issue to be decided is whether the appellant is entitled to Cenvat credit of service tax paid on Outward GTA services availed on direct sale from the factory gate i.e. beyond the 'place of removal' to their depot at Delhi vis-à-vis at buyer's door as per purchase order or otherwise during the relevant period. Accordingly, I proceed to decide the case on merits.
- 6. First, I find that the subject appeal is hit by limitation of 21 days in terms of provisions contained in Section 35(1) of the Central Excise Act, 1944. No application or request is made for condonation of said delay either way by the appellant i.e oral or written at any point of time. However, I condone the said delay of 21 days in terms of powers vested in me vide proviso to Section 35(1)ibid in the interest of justice.
- 7. In this regard, I find that the issue involved was already settled by the Hon'ble CESTAT, Chennai Larger Bench in the case of ABB Ltd. Vs. CCE & ST, Banglore [2009(15) STR-23(Tri.LB)]. However, in the appeal before the High Court of Karnataka by the deptt against the said judgment of the CESTAT, the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka upheld the decision of the Larger Bench of the Tribunal. As against this order of the High Court of Karnataka, the department filed Civil Application No.11402/2016 against ABB Ltd. before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. This civil application was tagged with Civil Appeal No.11710/2016 filed by CCE, Belgaum Vs. M/s. Vasavadatta Cements Ltd. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide judgment dated 18.01.2018 [reported in 2018(11) GSTL-3 (SC)] on the subject matter has categorically discussed the words and phrase "from the place of removal" as it stood in the definition of 'input service' in Rule 2(I) ibid prior to amendment w.e.f. 01.04.2008 and held as under:

"Cenvat credit - Input services - GTA services - Outward Transportation of manufactured product - Place of removal - Definition of input services as it existed prior to amendment in 2008, included term "from place of removal" - Certainly it has to be upto a certain point - Thus GTA services used for outward transportation of goods from place of removal, i.e., factory gate up to first point of delivery viz. a Depot or a Customer's premises covered under input services - However, post 1-4-2008 amendment, said term having been substituted by term "upto the place of removal", credit beyond such place not admissible - There being no error in concurrent orders of CESTAT Larger Bench and High Court, impugned order sustainable - Rule 2(I) of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004. [paras 5, 6, 7, 8]"

Following the ratio of this judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, I hold that the appellant is eligible for availing Cenvat credit of service tax paid on the

Outward Transportation service and accordingly allow the appeal filed by the appellant with consequential relief, if any, for the period covered prior to 01.04.2008. Consequently, to this extent the demand and interest confirmed and penalty imposed vide impugned order is set-aside.

- 7.1 As regards the period covered post 01.04.2008 and upto September-2008, I find that the appellant has mainly relied upon the Para 8.2 of the Board's Circular No.97/8/2007-CX dtd.23.03.2007 which contains parameters for determining 'place of removal' for the goods cleared on FOR destination. I find that the adjudicating authority has failed to give findings on this aspect though clearly stated by the appellant in their defence reply to the SCN dtd.20.04.2009. I also find that the appellant has not produced any documentary evidence in support of his claim before the adjudicating authority or this appellate authority. Hence, to this extent, matter is remanded back to the adjudicating authority to decide a fresh after following the principle of natural justice within 30 days of communication of this order. The appellant is also directed to produce documentary evidence in support of his claim.
- 8. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है। The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

341211

(उमा शंकर)

केन्द्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील्स)

Attested:

(B.A. Patel)

Supdt.(Appeals) Central GST, Ahmedabad.

BY SPEED POST TO:

M/s. Kaira Can Company Ltd., Dudh Sagar Dairy Compound, Mehsana.

Copy to:-

- (1) The Chief Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad Zone.
- (2) The Commissioner, CGST, Gandhinagar (RRA Section).
- (3) The Deputy/Asstt. Commissioner, CGST, Division Mehsana.
- (4) The Asstt. Commr(System), CGST, Gandhinagar. (for uploading OIA on website)

(5) Guard file

(6) P.A. file.

